

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING FORM Summer 04

01 220 301 31 80065 BHAUMIK
 ENROLL= 031 RESP= 12

PART A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:

	SUMON							STRONGLY AGREE								
	STRONGLY DISAGREE	1	2	3	4	5	# OF NO RESPONSES	MEAN OF SECTION	MEAN OF COURSE	MEAN OF DEPT	MEAN OF 300 LEVEL					
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner	0	0	0	1	11	0	4.92	4.55	4.37	4.46						
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions	0	0	0	1	11	0	4.92	4.34	4.21	4.32						
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material	0	0	0	4	8	0	4.67	4.25	4.03	4.14						
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material	0	0	0	1	11	0	4.92	4.50	4.36	4.45						
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly	0	0	0	3	8	1	4.73	4.44	4.30	4.39						
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning	0	0	0	5	7	0	4.58	4.02	3.89	4.00						
7. I learned a great deal in this course	0	0	0	3	9	0	4.75	4.21	3.98	4.07						
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course	0	0	2	5	5	0	4.25	3.97	3.59	3.72						
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as	0	0	0	4	7	1	4.64	4.21	4.11	4.22						
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as	0	0	0	4	6	2	4.60	4.10	3.98	4.05						

PART B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR:

	POOR					EXCELLENT									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	# OF NO RESPONSES	MEAN OF SECTION	MEAN OF COURSE	MEAN OF DEPT	MEAN OF 300 LEVEL
11.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.00	0.00	4.56	4.50
12.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.00	0.00	4.43	4.33
13.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.00	0.00	4.33	4.33
14.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.00	0.00	4.20	4.33
15.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.00	0.00	4.00	4.33
16.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.00	0.00	4.20	4.33
17.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.00	0.00	4.20	4.33
18.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.00	0.00	4.00	4.33
19.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.00	0.00	4.00	4.00